There are many paths an Individuate might choose to take. The basics consist of fairness then loyalty, loyalty then fairness, selfishness then loyalty then fairness, selfishness then fairness then loyalty, selfishness then loyalty, selfishness then fairness, just fairness, just loyalty, or just personal selfishness.

Just personal selfishness would probably be trusted with the least amount of resources.

Fairness then loyalty, incapable of schisming from this way of prioritizing things, as objectively calculated as possible, is the ideal of the founder Archangel Idiotis - although realistically, loyalty then fairness, or just loyalty, are also considered invaluable to the overall community.

Anyone whom consents to consider him or herself a member of the Individuate Church, and seriously practices even a fraction of what is contained within the writings of the organization, is considered a full fledged member of the Individuate Church.

Rank within the Individuate Church is flexible. It is not required that the most deserving members take on, for instance, the ability to command consenting adults to perform specific tasks. The Individuate Church was founded on a philosophy of anarchy that considers rule by consent to eventually transcend all forms of government that invade free will, but that does not oppose organization nor hierarchy. It would be nice, and is an aim, that eventually people only have to be asked - but the difference between being asked, and being told because you consented 100% to being in a position when you could be, I consider a taboo.

Rank within the Individuate Church prioritizes trust on scales of fairness then loyalty, but individual members are free to branch off into "their own thing" wherein trust is rooted within that particular branch in selfishness first, loyalty first, or fairness first. Rank determines resources allotted to the individual: if they are the most trustworthy on scales of fairness, loyalty, and intellectual aptitude for commanding people, they are provided the most volunteer troops to command. If they are most trustworthy on scales of fairness and loyalty concerning conduct, the prioritization of the resources of the network are geared toward empowering them to have the psychic or practical abilities, or intellectual aptitudes, to be trustworthy with infrastructural responsibility pertaining to that education.

Margin of obligation within the hierarchy is entirely based upon what the individual consents to. There are no obligations in exchange for joining and receiving membership benefits, and being trustworthy does not provide any obligatory rank responsibility.